



## **Peer Review Process**

*Ideas Forum International Academic and Scientific Association* (IFIASA) provides a platform for the latest scientific, theological, philosophical and moral-educational research, encouraging approaches from different areas and points of view. *Ideas Forum International Academic and Scientific Association* is an independent academic publisher with an editorial team comprising many of the world's leading researchers. In the context of the current international framework, IFIASA Journals and Conferences joins the effort in bringing together researchers and scientists from all over the globe, facilitating the professional development and encouraging dialogue, creativity and exchange of ideas.

### **Publication and authorship**

#### **Authors are invited to submit original and previously unpublished papers.**

The IFIASA Journals & Proceedings follows a systematic review and publication policy. The practice of peer review is to ensure that good paper is published. It is an objective process at the heart of good scholarly publishing and is carried out on all reputable scientific Journals. Our referees therefore play a vital role in maintaining the high standards of IFIASA.

All submitted manuscripts to the IFASA Journals & Proceedings are subject to strict peer-review process.

IFIASA Proceedings operates a double-blind peer review process.

To facilitate this process, authors are requested to ensure that all references to their own previously published work are impersonal.

Reviewers are selected by the editor in chief, after consultations with the members of the editorial board.

The editors will send authors a delivery receipt when manuscripts are received. Please note that the peer-review process might take, in some cases, 1 to 2 months. Authors will be notified of acceptance for publication as soon as reviewers answers are received.

Accepted articles are usually published in the forthcoming issue of the journal.

If the reviewers opinions are significantly divergent, a third reviewer will be asked to read the manuscript. Authors might be required to revise the manuscript according to the recommendations of the reviewers.

Revised manuscripts should be accompanied by a point-by-point reply to the recommendations of reviewers, specifying the changes made in the revised version or the reasons why authors decided to reject reviewers recommendation(s).

The factors that are taken into account in review are relevance, soundness, significance, originality, readability and language.

The possible decisions include acceptance, acceptance with revisions, or rejection.





If authors are encouraged to revise and resubmit a submission, there is no guarantee that the revised submission will be accepted.

Rejected manuscripts will not be re-reviewed. The manuscript acceptance is constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

No research can be included in more than one publication.

### **Authors' responsibilities**

Authors must certify that their manuscripts are their original work.

Authors must certify that the manuscript has not previously been published elsewhere.

Authors must certify that the manuscript is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

Authors must participate in the peer review process.

Authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes.

All Authors mentioned in the paper must have significantly contributed to the research.

Authors must state that all data in the paper are real and authentic.

Authors must notify the editors of any conflicts of interest.

Authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their manuscript.

Authors must report any errors they discover in their published paper to the editors.

Please complete authors' agreement form provided on the Journals website and send through email to the Journals

### **Reviewers' responsibilities**

Reviewers should keep all information regarding papers confidential and treat them as privileged information.

Reviews should be conducted objectively, with no personal criticism of the author.

Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.

Reviewers should also call to the editor-in-chief's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

For more information please look at the checklist for review provided on the Journals website.





### **Editors' responsibilities**

Editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject/accept an article.  
Editors are responsible for the contents and overall quality of the publication.  
Editors should always consider the needs of the authors and the readers when attempting to improve the publication.  
Editors should guarantee the quality of the papers and the integrity of the academic record.  
Editors should publish errata pages or make corrections when needed.  
Editors should have a clear picture of a research's funding sources.  
Editors should base their decisions solely on the papers' importance, originality, clarity and relevance to publication's scope.  
Editors should not reverse their decisions nor overturn the ones of previous editors without serious reason.  
Editors should preserve the anonymity of reviewers.  
Editors should ensure that all research material they publish conforms to internationally accepted ethical guidelines.  
Editors should only accept a paper when reasonably certain.  
Editors should act if they suspect misconduct, whether a paper is published or unpublished, and make all reasonable attempts to persist in obtaining a resolution to the problem.  
Editors should not reject papers based on suspicions, they should have proof of misconduct.  
Editors should not allow any conflicts of interest between staff, authors, reviewers and board members.

### **Open Access Policy**

**This journal provides access to its content on the principle that making scientific research available to the public and supports a greater global exchange of knowledge.**

### **Plagiarism**

All articles published by IFIASA Journals & Proceedings are committed to publishing only original material, i.e., material that has neither been published elsewhere, nor is under review elsewhere. Manuscripts that are found to have been plagiarized from a manuscript by other authors, whether published or unpublished, will incur plagiarism sanctions.

The editorial board is very strict regarding plagiarism. The IFIASA believes that taking the ideas and work of others without giving them credit is unfair and dishonest. The editorial board retains the absolute authority to reject the review process of a submitted manuscript if it is suspect at plagiarism or subject to plagiarism.

